

FINAL REPORT

Faserinstitut Bremen e.V.



255670 MAPS

Bremen, 15.11.2012

Contact Person:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Axel Herrmann

Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Faserinstitut Bremen e.V. - Am Biologischen Garten 2 - 28359 Bremen (Germany)

Fraunhofer IFAM
Herrn Thomas Kowalik
Wiener Straße 12

28359 Bremen

Kunden-Auftragsnummer Clean Sky Maps
 Client's order- No. JTI-CS-2009_1_GRA01_015

FIBRE-Auftragsnr. FWW-10-049-B1
 FIBRE order-No.

Kundennummer -
 Client's No.

Materialeingang Datum:
 Receipt of sample Date: _____

Uhrzeit: _____
 Time:

Anlieferungszustand OK
 Condition of receipt

Materialidentifikation CFRP
 Definition of sample

Nummerierung Kunde
 Client-Sample No.

A409197-CS08R10 16.03.2010
 A409197-CS09R10
 A409197-CS37R10
 A409197-CS45R10 30.06.2010
 A409197-CS53R10 04.08.2010
 A409197-CS55R10 01.07.2010
 A409197-CS57R10 03.08.2010

Nummerierung FIBRE
 FIBRE-Sample No.

M1
 M2
 M3
 M4
 M5
 M6
 M7

Klimatisierung: Die Vor- und Prüfklimatisierung entspricht den zugrundeliegenden Normen und Bestimmungen.

Durchgeführte Prüfungen Test procedures			Material Nr. Material No.	Ergebnisse Results	Anlage Nr. Attachment No.	Hinweise* Comments*
Typ	Nr.	Verfahrensgrundlage, Stand, Name				
C	-1	Compression after Impact (CAI) according to ISO 18352	see above	Siehe Anlage See summary	1	---
C	-1	Single fibre pull out test	see above	Siehe Anlage See summary	1	---

Ergebniszusammenfassung:
 Summary of results:

1. Compression after Impact (CAI)

1.1 Preparation of Test Plates

The customer provided the test plates (280mm x 210mm).

1.2 Internal Error Detection (Ultrasound Examination using Phase Array)

The test plates were examined using phased array ultrasonic testing (according to AITM 6-4010 04/2010) thus should be better incorporated impact damages assessed. These tests were carried out at the company GMA-Werkstofftechnik (Stade).

The plates CS09, CS45, CS57, CS55 and CS53 were not conform to 80T-31-2910(04/2008) due to the internal defects (> 2.5 % porosity).

Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

1.3 Cutting of Test Samples using abrasive Water Jet Cutting

Test samples (150 x 100 mm) were prepared by abrasive water jet cutting.

1.4 Cutting Line testing using Ultrasound (see Appendix 2)

The cutting lines of the test plates were checked to damage caused by the cutting process according to AIMT 6-4005. Damages could not be found.

1.5 Incorporating the Impact Stress

The Incorporating of the impact stress was carried out by a modified impact tester from Zwick / Roell (FIBRE). The impact stress is included in the test protocols (see Appendix 4).


1.6 Assessment of the Impact Damage (Ultrasound Examination using Phase Array)

To assess the impact damage the test plates were examined by phased-array-ultrasound (according to AIMT 6-4010 04/2010). These tests were carried out at the company GMA-Werkstofftechnik (Stade).




1.7 Pressure Test

Pressure test (according to ISO 18352, standard atmosphere were carried out at FIBRE. The overview the individual values are listed. A2. n static analysis of the measurements is not meaningful, because the values are due to the inhomogeneous distribution of the internal error differ greatly.

Overview of the results

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS08 R10 Sample 1	37,6	198	

Bericht Nummer: **FVW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS08 R10 Sample 2	38,6	228	
A409197 CS09 R10 Sample 1	38,8	228	
A409197 CS09 R10 Sample 2	38,7	222	




Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS37 R10 Sample 1	47,8	229	
A409197 CS37 R10 Sample 2	45,1	204	
A409197 CS45 R10 Sample 1	50,5	204	


Bericht Nummer: **FVW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS45 R10 Sample 2	66,5	211	
A409197 CS53 R10 Sample 1	46,5	208	
A409197 CS53 R10 Sample 2	43,6	156	

Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS55 R10 Sample 1	40,2	225	 <p>Top (C) Gr:1 S:90 A:0:Gate Main (A-Scan) P0 4.2 mm</p>
A409197 CS55 R10 Sample 2	39,4	207	 <p>Top (C) Gr:1 S:90 A:0:Gate Main (A-Scan) P0 4.2 mm</p>
A409197 CS57 R10 Sample 1	44,3	196	 <p>Top (C) Gr:1 S:90 A:0:Gate Main (A-Scan) P0 3.1 mm</p>

Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
 No. of report:

Sample	Young modulus [GPa]	residual pressure strength [MPa]	C-Picture after impact
A409197 CS57 R10 Sample 2	42	175	

2. Single Fibre Pull Out test

2.1 DiaStron-Measure with Uncross Linked Resin

The experiments are preparative feasible and practical. There are two problems. The applied forces are less than the accuracy of force sensor and adhesive surface varies with the strain, because the resin is adheres to the fibre and also pulled out.

2.2 DiaStron-Measure with Cross Linked Resin

The first step in this part was the production of new sample holders from aluminum, because the conventional plastic holder (PMMA) endures the curing temperature of the resin of 180 ° C.

The preparation of the samples is possible, but very extensive, because the resin muss processed at a temperature of 130 ° C according to customer requirement.

The fiber has been failing during the examination. A reduction of the adhesive surface is due to the handling of the resin (temperature / viscosity) not possible.

2.3 Roving Pull Out with Cross Linked Resin

In the next step the fiber cross-section has been increased, i.e. thin roving was used instead of a single fiber, because a weakening of the adhesion of the above-mentioned reasons was not possible. This experiment also leads to failure of the external filaments of the roving, therefore there is no inference is to the fiber-matrix adhesion, because the resin distributed over the free clamping length of the roving.

Meinungen, Interpretationen und Kommentar


Interpretation and Comment:

A comparative statement about the influence of the resin system on the CAI values is due to the significant fault in the laminates not possible, because they are greatly influenced by the quality of the component.

Bericht Nummer: **FWW-10-049-B1**
No. of report:

Single Fibre Pull Out test

Different variations of the experiment led to no usable result. The Diastron method is for the combination of carbon fiber and the here tested resin not suitable.



Bremen, 2012/11/15 Dr. Britta Lohmeyer

Ausstellungsort, Datum, Freigabe (Name und Unterschrift)

Location, Date, Release (Name and sign)